

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

n Cui 1919 EXAMINER	2854
EXAMINER	
ALVO, MARC S	
ART UNIT PAP	ER NUMBER
1731	
	ART UNIT PAI

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ī.

. <u> </u>				
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/851,069	CUI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Steve Alvo	1731		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,15 and 16</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. ☑ Other: See attached PTOL 892.		all Man		

Steve Alvo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument that a chloride peroxidase oxidizes chloride to hypochlorite and does not contain a halide is not convincing as the reference cited by Applicant does not support this. It is known in thew art that a haloperoxidase, i.e halide:hydrogen peroxide oxidoreductase is a peroxidase plus a halide or combination of halides, i.e. chloride (see the Abstract of ALLEN (6,50,507)..

Claim 1 would be given favorable consideration if amended as follows:

Line 1, change "carbohydrates" to "cellulose pulp"; last line, change "adding a hydroperoxide" to, --slowly adding hydrogen peroxide to the solution containing the cellulose pulp to catalyze the nitroxyl radical mediator by said peroxidase enzyme in the presence of halide ions--; see instant specification, page 2, lines 11-21.